

To: Herrera, Angeles[Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov]
From: Manzanilla, Enrique
Sent: Wed 12/23/2015 7:15:09 AM
Subject: Re: Anaconda Updated EPA NDEP Messaging Paper

You would think that 15 years would be enough time to consider alternatives.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 22, 2015, at 9:41 PM, Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov> wrote:

FYI

Please excuse my typos
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Greg Lovato <gllovato@ndep.nv.gov>
Date: December 22, 2015 at 5:27:09 PM PST
To: "Herrera, Angeles" <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Anaconda Updated EPA NDEP Messaging Paper

The text looks fine. I recommend leading with an abbreviated section on “EPA NDEP collaboration on Arimetco” and then following up second with the listing section you currently have first.

I want to make sure that the way we frame this is that site conditions and lack of alternatives are driving the need to seek funding. By talking about the proposed listing first it could be read at first that EPA is pursuing listing without fairly considering alternatives.

On the 90 million gallons sentence, I know you guys re-worked it to just talk about fluid in place when abandoned in 2000, but it leads the casual reader to think that 90 million gallons are still there. I will try to improve upon that number.

From: Herrera, Angeles [<mailto:Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2015 5:17 PM
To: Greg Lovato
Subject: FW: Anaconda Updated EPA NDEP Messaging Paper

this is how far I gotten...your thoughts?